

Mathieu Moonshine and Symmetry Surfing

Matthias R. Gaberdiel¹, Christoph A. Keller², and Hynek Paul¹

¹Institute for Theoretical Physics, ETH Zurich
CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland

²Department of Mathematics, ETH Zurich
CH-8092 Zurich, Switzerland

Abstract

Mathieu Moonshine, the observation that the Fourier coefficients of the elliptic genus on K3 can be interpreted as dimensions of representations of the Mathieu group M_{24} , has been proven abstractly, but a conceptual understanding in terms of a representation of the Mathieu group on the BPS states, is missing. Some time ago, Taormina and Wendland showed that such an action can be naturally defined on the lowest non-trivial BPS states, using the idea of ‘symmetry surfing’, i.e., by combining the symmetries of different K3 sigma models. In this paper we find non-trivial evidence that this construction can be generalized to all BPS states.

1 Introduction

Mathieu Moonshine [1] is the observation that the decomposition of the elliptic genus of K3 into $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal characters is of the form

$$\phi(z, \tau) = 20 ch_{\frac{1}{4},0} - 2 ch_{\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{2}} + 90 ch_{1,0} + 462 ch_{2,0} + 1540 ch_{3,0} + \cdots, \quad (1.1)$$

where the coefficients appearing in front of the massive representations $ch_{h,0}$ with $h \in \mathbb{N}$ correspond to the dimensions of representations $V_h^{\mathbb{M}_{24}}$ of the Mathieu group \mathbb{M}_{24} . (Similarly, also the coefficients 20 and 2 have an interpretation as virtual representations of \mathbb{M}_{24} .) This phenomenon is very similar to the case of Monstrous Moonshine [2], in that it connects the Fourier coefficients of a modular object — the elliptic genus of K3 is a weak Jacobi form — with the representations of a sporadic group.

Initially, this observation was only noted for the first few Fourier coefficients [1], but by now it is known to be true for all of them. Indeed, the functional form of the different twining genera, involving the insertion of an \mathbb{M}_{24} group element into the elliptic genus, have been found indirectly, and they possess the appropriate modular properties [3, 4, 5, 6]. From this information one can deduce the decomposition of all Fourier coefficients in terms of \mathbb{M}_{24} representations, and it was shown in [7] that all Fourier coefficients can be written in terms of \mathbb{M}_{24} representations.

However, while this solves on a certain level the problem of proving Mathieu Moonshine, the argument is rather indirect and it does not explain the emergence of \mathbb{M}_{24} in this context. Indeed, by analogy with Monstrous Moonshine, one would expect that there should be something like a vertex operator algebra or conformal field theory with automorphism group \mathbb{M}_{24} whose elliptic genus reproduces the elliptic genus of K3.

One natural candidate for such a conformal field theory is obviously a sigma model on K3 itself. Unfortunately, as was shown in [8], no single sigma model contains \mathbb{M}_{24} as an automorphism group. More specifically, it was shown there that a group G can only be the symmetry group of a K3 sigma model if it is a subgroup of $Co_1 < Aut(\Lambda)$ whose fixed point lattice Λ^G has at least rank 4. All such groups and their fixed point lattices are listed in table 1 of [9]. The largest such group is $\mathbb{Z}_2^8 : M_{20}$ and has order 245760, and the corresponding sigma model was constructed in [10]. In any case, it follows from the arguments of [8] that an VOA or conformal field theory with \mathbb{M}_{24} symmetry cannot just come from a single K3 sigma model.

In [11, 12] it was proposed that one may attempt to circumvent this no-go-theorem by combining the symmetries from different points of the moduli space of K3 sigma models. In particular, they considered three different $\mathbb{T}^4/\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold points, and showed that the \mathbb{M}_{24} action on $V_1^{\mathbb{M}_{24}}$, that is for $\mathbf{90} = \mathbf{45} \oplus \mathbf{45}$, could be reconstructed from these three symmetry groups. In this paper we attempt (and partially succeed) in generalizing their construction to all h . Even though we do not actually construct the full representations, we find very non-trivial evidence indicating that this procedure will actually work.

The basic idea behind this approach is that the elliptic genus only counts supersymmetric states, more precisely states that are at least $\frac{1}{4}$ BPS. Since the elliptic genus does

not know about the non-BPS states, it is not necessary that \mathbb{M}_{24} is a symmetry of them; it is enough if \mathbb{M}_{24} is a symmetry of the subspace of BPS states

$$V^{\text{BPS}} \subset \mathcal{H} . \quad (1.2)$$

At a generic point of moduli space \mathcal{M} of K3, we expect the coefficients of the elliptic genus to agree precisely with the graded dimensions V_h^{BPS} (possibly up to some signs and multiplicities coming from the right-moving Ramond ground states). However, at such a generic point, the automorphism symmetry of the corresponding K3 sigma model is typically trivial.

We shall therefore consider the torus orbifolds $\mathbb{T}^4/\mathbb{Z}_2$, that describe a 16-dimensional sub-locus of the full 80-dimensional moduli space \mathcal{M} . For every sigma model on this moduli space the graded dimensions $\dim V_h^{\text{BPS}}$ (for $h > 1$) are always bigger than the corresponding coefficients in the elliptic genus — the elliptic genus is a partial index (effectively the Witten index with respect to the right-movers), and hence contributions can (and will in fact) cancel. What is special about the \mathbb{Z}_2 torus orbifolds is that their BPS spectrum is essentially independent of which orbifold one considers: there are BPS states from the zero-momentum zero-winding part of the untwisted sector, as well as from the 16 twisted sectors. (For special sizes of the torus there may be additional BPS states with non-zero momentum and winding, but we shall avoid these special points.) Furthermore, one can argue that the cancellation that appears in the calculation of the elliptic genus, will only involve the untwisted sector states, as well as *one* of the 16 twisted sectors. In particular, we therefore know on general grounds that the BPS states that arise from 15 of the 16 twisted sectors will form a subspace $V_h^\perp \subset V_h^{\mathbb{M}_{24}}$. Furthermore, there are three special \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifolds corresponding to the square Kummer surface X_0 with symmetry group $G_0 := (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$, the tetrahedral Kummer surface X_1 with symmetry group $G_1 := (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \rtimes A_4$, and the triangular Kummer surface X_2 with symmetry group $G_2 := (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \rtimes S_3$ [11, 12]. Thus we can read off the action of the three geometric symmetry groups G_i on V^\perp , and hence construct their representations $\rho^i : G_i \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V^\perp)$ explicitly.

One of the central results of [11, 12] is that the geometric groups can be naturally combined into the so-called octad group $G = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \rtimes A_8$, which is a maximal subgroup of \mathbb{M}_{24} . One should then expect that the octad group G acts naturally on each V_h^\perp , and this will indeed turn out to be the case. Indeed, starting from the known decomposition of $V_h^{\mathbb{M}_{24}}$ in terms of \mathbb{M}_{24} representations, we can consider the branching under the octad group $G \subset \mathbb{M}_{24}$, and regard $V_h^{\mathbb{M}_{24}}$ as a representation of G . Then there is a simple group theoretic rule for which representations of G arise in V^\perp (and which account for the rest of the spectrum V^{rest} — in fact the representations that appear in V^{rest} are precisely those that are invariant under the normal factor group $T := (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4$.) This prediction can then be tested by explicit comparison with the geometric actions ρ^i on V_h^\perp , and we find beautiful agreement. More explicitly we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1 *We can decompose the \mathbb{M}_{24} representations $V_h^{\mathbb{M}_{24}}$ into representations V_h^\perp and V_h^{rest} of the octad group $G = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \rtimes A_8 \subset \mathbb{M}_{24}$,*

$$V_h^{\mathbb{M}_{24}} = V_h^\perp \oplus V_h^{\text{rest}}$$

where $V_h^{\text{rest}} := V_h^{(\mathbb{Z}_2)^4}$ is the subspace invariant under the normal factor group $T := (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4$. V^\perp then has the properties:

1. The representation $\rho_h : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V_h^\perp)$ is induced from a representation π_h of the subgroup $H < G$, where $H = ((\mathbb{Z}_2)^6 \rtimes \text{PSL}(3, 2)) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the stabilizer subgroup of one of the 16 twisted sectors.
2. The restriction of ρ_h to any of the three geometric subgroups G_i , $i = 0, 1, 2$, is isomorphic to the representations ρ_h^i ,

$$\text{Res}_{G_i} \rho_h \cong \rho_h^i . \quad (1.3)$$

Note that for the $h = 1$ case considered in [11, 12], $V_1^{\text{rest}} = 0$; however, for $h > 1$, $V_h^{\text{rest}} \neq 0$. We should mention that the contribution from V^\perp accounts precisely for the contribution from 15 of the 16 twisted sectors; in particular, the dimensions of the octad representations add up correctly to the corresponding coefficients.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we introduce our notations, and construct the spaces $V^{\mathbb{M}_{24}}$ and V^\perp . In section 3 we discuss the action of G and the geometric subgroups G_i . In particular we define the stabilizer subgroup H and the geometric representations ρ^i , and we explain why Theorem 1 gives evidence for the symmetry surfing proposal. In section 4 we finally prove Theorem 1, and section 5 contains our conclusions.

2 The elliptic genus of $\mathbb{T}^4/\mathbb{Z}_2$

2.1 The elliptic genus of K3

The elliptic genus of K3 is defined as the trace over the $\text{R}\tilde{\text{R}}$ sector of the sigma model

$$\phi_{\text{K3}}(\tau, z) = \text{Tr}_{\text{R}\tilde{\text{R}}} \left(q^{L_0 - \frac{1}{4}} y^{J_0} (-1)^F \bar{q}^{\tilde{L}_0 - \frac{1}{4}} (-1)^{\tilde{F}} \right) , \quad (2.1)$$

where we denote the right-movers by a tilde. As usual we take $q := \exp(2\pi i \tau)$ and $y := \exp(2\pi i z)$, where $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$; furthermore, F and \tilde{F} are the fermion number operators. Although also the anti-holomorphic variable $\bar{q} = \exp(-2\pi i \bar{\tau})$ enters its definition, the elliptic genus itself is actually independent of $\bar{\tau}$, since it effectively computes the Witten index for the right-moving states. It is therefore a topological quantity and independent of the specific K3 surface chosen. In [13], the elliptic genus of K3 was explicitly calculated to be

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\text{K3}}(\tau, z) &= 8 \left[\left(\frac{\vartheta_2(\tau, z)}{\vartheta_2(\tau, 0)} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\vartheta_3(\tau, z)}{\vartheta_3(\tau, 0)} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\vartheta_4(\tau, z)}{\vartheta_4(\tau, 0)} \right)^2 \right] \\ &= 2y + 20 + \frac{2}{y} + q \left(20y^2 - 128y + 216 - \frac{128}{y} + \frac{20}{y^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(q^2) , \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where $\vartheta_i(\tau, z)$ are the usual Jacobi theta functions. Because of its representation as a sum of Jacobi theta functions, the elliptic genus has nice transformation properties under the modular group. In particular, $\phi_{\text{K3}}(\tau, z)$ can be identified with a weak Jacobi form of weight zero and index one, which also follows from general string theory arguments [14]. Using the modular properties of Jacobi theta functions one finds

$$\begin{aligned} S : \quad \phi_{\text{K3}}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{z}{\tau}\right) &= e^{\frac{2\pi iz^2}{\tau}} \phi_{\text{K3}}(\tau, z) , \\ T : \quad \phi_{\text{K3}}(\tau + 1, z) &= \phi_{\text{K3}}(\tau, z) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

In what follows, it will be more natural to work in the $\text{NS}\tilde{\text{R}}$ sector. Because of the underlying $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal symmetry, we can go back and forth between the $\text{NS}\tilde{\text{R}}$ and the $\text{R}\tilde{\text{R}}$ sector by spectrally flowing the left-movers, i.e., by $y \mapsto \sqrt{q}y$. We also want to remove the left moving $(-1)^F$ insertion, which we can do by replacing $y \mapsto -y$. The elliptic genus in the $\text{NS}\tilde{\text{R}}$ sector is thus defined as

$$\phi_{\text{K3}}^{\text{NS}}(\tau, z) = \text{Tr}_{\text{NS}\tilde{\text{R}}} \left(q^{L_0 - \frac{1}{4}} y^{J_0} \bar{q}^{\tilde{L}_0 - \frac{1}{4}} (-1)^{\tilde{F}} \right) , \quad (2.4)$$

which for K3 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\text{K3}}^{\text{NS}}(\tau, z) &= 8 \left[- \left(\frac{\vartheta_4(\tau, z)}{\vartheta_2(\tau, 0)} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\vartheta_1(\tau, z)}{\vartheta_3(\tau, 0)} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\vartheta_2(\tau, z)}{\vartheta_4(\tau, 0)} \right)^2 \right] \\ &= q^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left[-2 + \sqrt{q} \left(20y + \frac{20}{y} \right) + q \left(-2y^2 + 128 - \frac{2}{y^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(q^{\frac{3}{2}}) \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Note that only states whose right-moving part are Ramond ground states contribute to the elliptic genus. We can label those right-moving ground states by their $\text{SU}(2)_R$ spins $l = 0$ and $l = \frac{1}{2}$. (Here $\text{SU}(2)_R$ is the R -symmetry, i.e., the $\text{SU}(2)$ subalgebra of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal algebra.) Their Witten index is 1 and -2 , respectively.

2.2 The elliptic genus of $\mathbb{T}^4/\mathbb{Z}_2$

As we have already mentioned before, the elliptic genus is a partial index which is independent of the specific point in moduli space of K3 sigma models. We may therefore choose the K3 surface limit of a $\mathbb{T}^4/\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold as our target space. In this section we want to describe the full space of states W^{CFT} of the corresponding conformal field theory; we shall also denote by V^{CFT} the subspace of primary states. Both W^{CFT} and V^{CFT} are graded by conformal weight h .

Let us begin by introducing some notation. We denote the complex fermions and complex bosons of \mathbb{T}^4 as

$$\Psi^i , \quad \bar{\Psi}^i , \quad \partial X^i , \quad \partial \bar{X}^i , \quad (2.6)$$

where $i = 1, 2$; we also sometimes denote these fields collectively by Ψ^I and ∂X^I with $I = 1, 2, 3, 4$. For the right-movers we use the same convention, except that they are

decorated by a tilde. The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal algebra can then be constructed in the usual way. The symmetry at the torus orbifold group is actually bigger. In particular there is an additional $SU(2)$ symmetry which commutes with the $\mathcal{N} = 4$. Under this symmetry the fields transform as doublets,

$$SU(2) : \quad \begin{array}{cc} \Psi & , \quad \partial X \\ \bar{\Psi} & , \quad \partial \bar{X} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{\bar{2}} \end{array} \quad (2.7)$$

The details of this are described in appendix A. This symmetry is crucial in what follows since we can think of the M_{24} action as being associated to group elements in this $SU(2)$.

As usual, the \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold acts on the fields as

$$\Psi^I(z) \mapsto -\Psi^I(z) , \quad \partial X^I(z) \mapsto -\partial X^I(z) , \quad (2.8)$$

and similarly on the right-movers. In order to specify its action on the Hilbert space, it remains to understand the behavior of the ground states. For this it is convenient to work in the $R\tilde{R}$ sector, and flow to $NS\tilde{R}$ at the end.

Let us start with the untwisted sector. Here both the bosonic $\partial X^I(z)$ and fermionic fields $\Psi^I(z)$ have integer-valued modes. We thus have four fermionic zero modes Ψ_0^I , and similarly four right-moving zero modes $\tilde{\Psi}_0^I$ such that the theory has degenerate charged ground states. Let us define the $\bar{\Psi}_0^i$ to be the annihilation operators, and the Ψ_0^i to be the creation operators, so that the highest weight state $|0\rangle_R$ satisfies

$$\bar{\Psi}_0^i |0\rangle_R = 0 . \quad (2.9)$$

The four left-moving ground states thus read

$$\begin{array}{ll} |0\rangle_R , & \Psi_0^1 \Psi_0^2 |0\rangle_R , \\ \Psi_0^1 |0\rangle_R , & \Psi_0^2 |0\rangle_R . \end{array} \quad (2.10)$$

They are charged under the $SU(2)_R$ current with charges $l = 0, \frac{1}{2}$, as one can check by applying J_0^3 . Therefore, the four ground states decompose into the ground states of $l = 0$ and $l = \frac{1}{2}$ representations as

$$\begin{array}{ll} 2 \cdot |l = 0\rangle_R : & \Psi_0^1 |0\rangle_R , \quad \Psi_0^2 |0\rangle_R , \\ |l = \frac{1}{2}\rangle_R : & |0\rangle_R , \quad \Psi_0^1 \Psi_0^2 |0\rangle_R . \end{array} \quad (2.11)$$

The situation for the right-moving part is completely analogous.

Now we need to take the \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold projection into account. We choose the highest weight state $|0\rangle_R \otimes |0\rangle_{\tilde{R}}$ to be orbifold even. Remember that the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal generators, as stated in equations (A.3) – (A.7), are bilinear in the fields and therefore are \mathbb{Z}_2 invariant. For the different untwisted ground states from equation (2.11) this results in $|l = 0\rangle_R$ being orbifold odd and $|l = \frac{1}{2}\rangle_R$ even.

It will be convenient to spectrally flow the left-moving states to the NS sector. Since spectral flow maps $l = 0$ to $l = \frac{1}{2}$ and vice versa, we have

$$|l = \frac{1}{2}\rangle_R \mapsto |l = 0\rangle_{NS} , \quad (2.12)$$

and thus $|0\rangle_{\text{NS}} \otimes |l = \frac{1}{2}\rangle_{\tilde{\text{R}}}$ is orbifold even. All in all, the untwisted ground states before the \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold projection decompose therefore into

$$|0\rangle_{\text{NS}} \otimes |l = \frac{1}{2}\rangle_{\tilde{\text{R}}} \oplus 2 \cdot (|0\rangle_{\text{NS}} \otimes |l = 0\rangle_{\tilde{\text{R}}}) , \quad (2.13)$$

where the first term is orbifold even, while the second one is orbifold odd. The factor of two accounts for the two right-moving $l = 0$ ground states $\tilde{\Psi}_0^i |0\rangle_{\tilde{\text{R}}}$, $i = 1, 2$. Let us denote by $U_{l=\frac{1}{2}}(q, y)$ the partition function of all orbifold even left-moving descendants of $|0\rangle_{\text{NS}} \otimes |l = \frac{1}{2}\rangle_{\tilde{\text{R}}}$, and by $U_{l=0}(q, y)$ the partition function of all orbifold even (left-moving) descendants of $|0\rangle_{\text{NS}} \otimes |l = 0\rangle_{\tilde{\text{R}}}$. Explicit expressions for $U_{l=0}$ and $U_{l=\frac{1}{2}}$ are given in appendix B.

In the twisted sector, the states are localized at fixed points of the $\mathbb{T}^4/\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold. There are 2^4 fixed points and thus we have 16 corresponding ground states which we denote by $|\alpha\rangle$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_2^4$. In the twisted $\text{R}\tilde{\text{R}}$ sector, there are no fermionic zero modes, so that there is indeed just a single ground state, and we have $l = 0$. We choose those ground states to be orbifold even.

Once we flow to the left-moving NS sector, the fermions become integer moded, and we introduce fermionic zero modes. By the analogous construction as above, the twisted ground states are given by

$$|l = \frac{1}{2}\rangle_{\text{NS}}^\alpha \otimes |l = 0\rangle_{\tilde{\text{R}}}^\alpha \oplus 2 \cdot (|l = 0\rangle_{\text{NS}}^\alpha \otimes |l = 0\rangle_{\tilde{\text{R}}}^\alpha) , \quad (2.14)$$

where the label α denotes one of the 16 fixed points. We will denote by $T_{l=0}^\alpha(\tau, z)$ the partition function of all orbifold even descendants. An explicit expression can again be found in appendix B.

In total, we can thus write the NS elliptic genus of the orbifold theory as

$$\phi_{\text{K3}}^{\text{NS}}(\tau, z) = -2 U_{l=\frac{1}{2}}(\tau, z) + U_{l=0}(\tau, z) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{16} T_{l=0}^\alpha(\tau, z) . \quad (2.15)$$

Here $U_{l=\frac{1}{2}}$ is the contribution of the untwisted states whose right-moving Ramond ground state is in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ representation with $l = 1/2$, which explains the factor of -2 coming from the specialization $\bar{z} = 0$. $U_{l=0}$ is the contribution of the untwisted states whose right-moving Ramond states are in the $l = 0$ representation, and $T_{l=0}$ is the contribution of a single twisted sector. In particular they can all be decomposed into $\mathcal{N} = 4$ representations as

$$U_{l=\frac{1}{2}}(\tau, z) = ch_{0,l=0}^{\text{NS}}(\tau, z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n ch_{h=n,l=0}^{\text{NS}}(\tau, z) , \quad (2.16)$$

$$U_{l=0}(\tau, z) = 4 ch_{0,l=\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{NS}}(\tau, z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n ch_{h=n,l=0}^{\text{NS}}(\tau, z) , \quad (2.17)$$

$$T_{l=0}^\alpha(\tau, z) = ch_{0,l=\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{NS}}(\tau, z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n ch_{h=n,l=0}^{\text{NS}}(\tau, z) , \quad (2.18)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} B_n &= \{3, 1, 18, 15, 68, 89, 249, 358, 799, 1236, \dots\} , \\ C_n &= \{0, 16, 8, 72, 80, 264, 360, 904, 1360, 2808, \dots\} , \\ D_n &= \{6, 28, 98, 282, 728, 1734, 3864, 8182, 16618, \dots\} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Accordingly, the number of contributing primary states A_n , and thus the dimensions of V_h^{CFT} , can be computed at every level by

$$\dim(V_n^{\text{CFT}}) = A_n = -2 B_n + C_n + 16 D_n . \quad (2.20)$$

Mathieu Moonshine then means that the A_n can be written as (simple) combinations of dimensions of irreducible representations of \mathbb{M}_{24} .

3 Symmetry surfing for torus orbifolds

3.1 The geometric groups

In the analysis of Taormina and Wendland the 24-dimensional even self-dual Niemeier lattice N that is uniquely characterised by the property to contain the root lattice A_1^{24} plays a preferred role. The reason for this is that the symmetry groups of Kummer surfaces, i.e., orbifolds $\mathbb{T}^4/\mathbb{Z}_2$, can all be described as subgroups of the automorphism group of N . All relevant automorphisms are permutations in S_{24} (permuting the 24 A_1 factors of the root sublattice). Since they must furthermore preserve the Golay code \mathcal{G}_{24} (that describes the additional ‘glue’ vectors that are present in the self-dual lattice N), they are automatically elements of \mathbb{M}_{24} . (Indeed, \mathbb{M}_{24} can be defined as the subgroup of S_{24} that leaves the Golay code invariant.)

Any Kummer surface will always have at least the translational subgroup $T := \mathbb{Z}_2^4$ as a symmetry group. As elements of S_{24} , its generators are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_1 &= (1, 11)(2, 22)(4, 20)(7, 12)(8, 17)(10, 18)(13, 21)(14, 16) , \\ \iota_2 &= (1, 13)(2, 12)(4, 14)(7, 22)(8, 10)(11, 21)(16, 20)(17, 18) , \\ \iota_3 &= (1, 14)(2, 17)(4, 13)(7, 10)(8, 22)(11, 16)(12, 18)(20, 21) , \\ \iota_4 &= (1, 17)(2, 14)(4, 12)(7, 20)(8, 11)(10, 21)(13, 18)(16, 22) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Depending on the shape of the torus, the symmetry group can be bigger than that. There are three particular Kummer surfaces with larger symmetry groups that we are interested in. These are the square Kummer surface X_0 with symmetry group $G_0 := (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$, the tetrahedral Kummer surface X_1 with symmetry group $G_1 := (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \rtimes A_4$, and the triangular Kummer surface X_2 with symmetry group $G_2 := (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \rtimes S_3$ [11, 12]. We denote the generators for the non-translational part of the symmetry groups, following [11, 12] as follows: α_1, α_2 for G_0 ; $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ for G_1 ; and β_1, β_2 for G_2 . In terms of permutations

they are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1 &= (4, 8)(6, 19)(10, 20)(11, 13)(12, 22)(14, 17)(16, 18)(23, 24) , \\
\alpha_2 &= (2, 21)(3, 9)(4, 8)(10, 12)(11, 14)(13, 17)(20, 22)(23, 24) , \\
\beta_1 &= (2, 17, 14)(4, 7, 8)(10, 16, 12)(11, 13, 21)(18, 20, 22)(5, 24, 23) , \\
\beta_2 &= (2, 21)(3, 9)(4, 8)(10, 12)(11, 14)(13, 17)(20, 22)(23, 24) , \\
\gamma_1 &= (2, 8)(7, 18)(9, 24)(10, 22)(11, 13)(12, 17)(14, 20)(15, 19) , \\
\gamma_2 &= (2, 18)(7, 8)(9, 19)(10, 17)(11, 14)(12, 22)(13, 20)(15, 24) , \\
\gamma_3 &= (2, 12, 13)(4, 16, 21)(7, 17, 20)(8, 22, 14)(9, 19, 24)(10, 11, 18) .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

This presentation of the symmetry groups G_i is particularly well-adapted for the symmetry surfing philosophy. In particular it is straightforward to combine them into an overarching symmetry group G by combining all the generators. The resulting group is the so-called octad group $G = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \rtimes A_8$. It can be described as a maximal subgroup of \mathbb{M}_{24} obtained by the setwise stabilizer of a particular “reference octad” in the Golay code, which we take to be $O_9 = \{3, 5, 6, 9, 15, 19, 23, 24\} \in \mathcal{G}_{24}$. The octad subgroup is of order 322560, and its index in \mathbb{M}_{24} is 759, which is precisely the number of different reference octads one can choose. Note in particular that it is too big to fit in the no-go theorem of [8], and that we could not have gotten this group from the symmetries of a single K3 sigma model.

3.2 Marginal deformations and V^\perp and V^{rest}

It is obvious from the discussion of the orbifold spectrum that the BPS spectrum (for generic tori) come from the zero-momentum zero-winding part of the untwisted sector, as well as from the 16 twisted sectors. However, since all $B_n > 0$, see eq. (2.19), it follows from eq. (2.20) that there are always (i.e., for generic \mathbb{Z}_2 torus orbifolds) non-trivial cancellations in the elliptic genus. On the other hand, at a really generic point in the moduli space, we should expect there to be no non-trivial cancellations, i.e., the Fourier coefficients of the elliptic genus should agree with the dimensions of the corresponding space of BPS states.

One way to move away from the special Kummer surfaces to a more generic point in the moduli space is to perturb the conformal field theory by an exactly marginal operator Φ . The interesting marginal operators are those that are associated to the 16 twisted sectors. Whichever such operator one chooses, it is clear that this will only affect one (linear combination) of twisted sectors, and that a 15-dimensional space of twisted sectors will be unaffected by this perturbation. Thus we expect that this 15-dimensional space will form a subspace of surviving BPS states which we will call V^\perp . In the untwisted sector and the remaining twisted sector, we expect all non-generic BPS states to be lifted, so that there are no more cancellations in the elliptic genus; we will call the space of BPS states that survive V^{rest} . In particular this requires that the linear combination of coefficients

$$\dim(V_n^{\text{rest}}) \equiv -2B_n + C_n + D_n \geq 0 . \tag{3.3}$$

In the following we shall take the perturbing field Φ to be the symmetrical combination of all 16 twisted sector exactly marginal operators. We then define the

$$V_{24}^{\mathbb{M}} = V^{\text{rest}} \oplus V^{\perp} . \quad (3.4)$$

Note that we know V^{\perp} explicitly, as it is simply the direct sum of 15 twisted sectors. To describe V^{rest} explicitly, on the other hand, we would have to compute which states are lifted. We will not do this here, and instead concentrate on V^{\perp} .

3.3 Action of the geometric groups G_i on V^{\perp}

Let us now discuss the action of the G_i on V^{\perp} . We first discuss how the geometric groups G_i act at their respective points in moduli space and construct their representations ρ^i . For concreteness, we fix the ground state $|\alpha\rangle$ such that

$$\bar{\Psi}_0^i |\alpha\rangle = 0 . \quad (3.5)$$

From the discussion around eqs. (2.10) and (2.14), we know that there are two ground states $|\alpha\rangle$ and $\Psi_0^1 \Psi_0^2 |\alpha\rangle$ which survive the orbifold projection, which in fact form the $l = 1/2$ doublet of the R -symmetry $\text{SU}(2)_R$. Since the group action is supposed to commute with the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal algebra, both these states transform the same way under G . We can thus concentrate on the state $|\alpha\rangle$ only. Following the construction in [11], we introduce linear combinations of these twisted ground states, given by

$$N_{\alpha}^{\text{CFT}} := \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_2^4} (-1)^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} |\beta\rangle , \quad (3.6)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard scalar product on \mathbb{F}_2^4 . The translational subgroup $T = \mathbb{Z}_2^4$ of the G_i acts on N_{α}^{CFT} via the map

$$\iota_{\beta}(N_{\alpha}^{\text{CFT}}) = (-1)^{\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle} N_{\alpha}^{\text{CFT}} , \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{F}_2^4 . \quad (3.7)$$

It is clear from the definition (3.6) that the perturbing field Φ sits in the N_{0000}^{CFT} sector; the 15-dimensional space of twisted sector (ground) states that are unaffected by the perturbation is then spanned by the remaining 15 N_{α}^{CFT} ($\alpha \neq 0$). These ground states carry a faithful representation of G , which we identify with the 15-dimensional representation \mathcal{A} of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{F}_2^4)$. In [11], an isomorphism of T -representations between \mathcal{A} and Margolin's base $\mathcal{B} = \{N_A, \dots, N_O\}$ is given by identifying the remaining N_{α}^{CFT} with the translation generators ι_1, \dots, ι_4 on the set $\{N_A, \dots, N_O\}$

$$\begin{aligned} N_A &= N_{0011}^{\text{CFT}} , & N_B &= N_{0001}^{\text{CFT}} , & N_C &= N_{0010}^{\text{CFT}} , & N_D &= N_{1001}^{\text{CFT}} , & N_E &= N_{1010}^{\text{CFT}} , \\ N_F &= N_{1110}^{\text{CFT}} , & N_G &= N_{1101}^{\text{CFT}} , & N_H &= N_{1000}^{\text{CFT}} , & N_I &= N_{1011}^{\text{CFT}} , & N_J &= N_{0111}^{\text{CFT}} , \\ N_K &= N_{0100}^{\text{CFT}} , & N_L &= N_{0110}^{\text{CFT}} , & N_M &= N_{0101}^{\text{CFT}} , & N_N &= N_{1111}^{\text{CFT}} , & N_O &= N_{1100}^{\text{CFT}} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

The induced action of A_8 on this basis is shown to be given by

$$\begin{aligned}
M(\alpha_1) &= (B, C)(D, L)(E, M)(F, G)(H, K)(I, J) , \\
M(\alpha_2) = M(\beta_2) &= (A, O)(B, K)(C, H)(D, L)(F, I)(G, J) , \\
M(\gamma_1) &= (A, C)(D, J)(E, M)(G, O)(H, L)(I, K) , \\
M(\gamma_2) &= (A, G)(C, O)(D, L)(E, I)(H, J)(K, M) , \\
M(\gamma_3) &= (A, H, I)(B, N, F)(C, J, M)(D, K, G)(E, O, L) , \\
M(\beta_1) &= (A, C, B)(D, N, L)(E, G, J)(F, M, I)(H, O, K) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

whereas T acts as ± 1 on the ground states as in (3.7), and thus automatically stabilizes N_A, \dots, N_O . Taken together this then defines a representation of G_i on these 15 twisted sector ground states. It is in fact a *monomial representation*.¹

Note that T acts trivially on N_{0000}^{CFT} , and that there are no states invariant under it in any of the other 15 sectors. This motivates an alternative definition of V^\perp and its complement V^{rest} . Namely, we can define $V^{\text{rest}} := V^T$, that is the states that are invariant under T ; the projector onto V^{rest} is thus

$$P_r := \frac{1}{16} \sum_{h \in T} h , \tag{3.10}$$

while for the projector onto V^\perp we find

$$P_\perp = 1 - P_r . \tag{3.11}$$

This gives indeed

$$P_r N_\alpha^{\text{CFT}} = \delta_{\alpha,0} N_\alpha^{\text{CFT}} . \tag{3.12}$$

Let us now discuss the action on the Fock space of oscillator modes. The full space V^\perp is of the form

$$V^\perp = \bigoplus_{X=A}^O V_X , \tag{3.13}$$

where V_X are the orbifold-invariant (left-moving) descendants of the ground state N_X . For each geometric subgroup, we can choose a basis of the oscillators in the twisted sectors such that the action of $g \in G_i$ factorizes into an action on the oscillators, and an action on the ground states, giving a tensor product representation

$$\rho^i = \rho_{\text{osc}}^i \otimes \rho_{\text{gr}}^i , \tag{3.14}$$

where ρ_{gr}^i is the action on the ground states defined at the beginning of this section. In particular, for every g , ρ^i defines a permutation

$$\sigma_g^i \in S_{15} \tag{3.15}$$

¹A monomial representation has the property that the representation matrices have the same non-zero patterns as permutation matrices. In our case, the non-zero entries are all ± 1 .

such that

$$\rho^i(g)V_X \subset V_{\sigma_g(X)} . \quad (3.16)$$

σ_g^i is of course the permutation generated by (3.9).

The representation ρ_{osc}^i on the other hand can be embedded in the $\text{SU}(2)$ representation (2.7) acting on the doublets Ψ^i and ∂X^i . The representation matrices of the generators for the $\mathbf{2}$ are given by

$$\alpha_1 = \gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.17)$$

$$\alpha_2 = \beta_2 = \gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.18)$$

$$\beta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.19)$$

$$\gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-1+i}{2} & \frac{1-i}{2} \\ \frac{-1-i}{2} & -\frac{1+i}{2} \end{pmatrix} , \quad (3.20)$$

where $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/3}$ — see Proposition 3.4 in [11]. For the $\bar{\mathbf{2}}$ we take, of course, the complex conjugate matrices. Note that this does not define a representation of the G_i , but rather a projective representation, whose phases are given by ± 1 . ρ^i however is a proper representation due to the fact that the \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold ensures that only bilinear modes of the oscillators survive, for which the sign is then always $+1$. This ties in with the fact that the orbifold should be crucial, as we do not expect any interesting moonshine for the \mathbb{T}^4 theory, see however [15].

In principle we could describe ρ^i explicitly in terms of the tensor product of sums of tensor products of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{2}}$ with the monomial representation of the ground states. In section 4 we will instead simply give the (graded) characters of the ρ^i , which is of course enough to uniquely identify them.

In summary, the action of the geometric group G_i factorizes as in (3.14), where ρ_i^{osc} acts on the oscillator modes as in (3.17) – (3.20), and ρ_{gr}^i is a monomial matrix given by (3.7) and (3.9).

3.4 Representations of G

As we saw in Section 3.1, by embedding the different symmetry groups into the permutation group S_{24} , there is a natural way of combining them into the octad group G . However, it is not immediately clear how to combine the actions of the geometric groups into an action of the full octad group G . In particular, the fact that the geometric representations can be written as tensor products (3.14) depended on a specific choice of basis for each G_i . These choices of basis are in general not compatible with each other. What we can do is to try to translate the different group actions by parallel transporting the BPS states between the three special points. This will, in general, lead to a non-trivial change of basis, i.e., the different group actions will be ‘twisted’ relative to one another.

More specifically, let us denote by $\rho_{p_i}^i$ the action of the group G_i at the point p_i in the moduli space where the Kummer surface actually has the enhanced symmetry G_i . We can then define the action $\rho_{p_j}^i$ of the group G_i at another point p_j by parallel transport, that is

$$\rho_{p_j}^i := \gamma_{ji} \rho_{p_i}^i \gamma_{ji}^{-1} , \quad (3.21)$$

where γ_{ji} is the matrix that implements the parallel transport from p_i to p_j . (Since we may connect the three different points in moduli space within the Kummer moduli space, we do not expect that this will mix the 15 surviving twisted sectors with the rest, in particular, the untwisted sector. Thus it makes sense to analyse this on the subspace V^\perp .) We expect γ_{ij} to be block-diagonal with 15 blocks; however, the different blocks will not be in general identical — this is what [11] call the ‘twist’. Using this definition, we can then define a representation ρ of the full octad group G . We define it for instance at the point p_0 by combining the $\rho_{p_0}^i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$, that is

$$\rho(g) := \rho_{p_0}^i(g) \quad \text{if } g \in G_i . \quad (3.22)$$

Let us now discuss what properties ρ has to satisfy so that this proposal is consistent. First, clearly the restriction to the geometric groups has to satisfy

$$\text{Res}_{G_i} \rho = \rho_{p_0}^i \cong \rho^i , \quad (3.23)$$

since $\rho_{p_0}^i$ and ρ^i only differ by a change of basis. This explains why we want to prove the second statement of Theorem 1. Before we get to this, let us first explain the origin of the induced representations, see the first statement of Theorem 1.

3.4.1 Induced representations

To start with, we concentrate on the ground states again. The discussion in section 3.3 carries over directly, so that we again get a monomial representation. In fact we can describe this representation in a slightly different manner, namely as an *induced representation*.

Let us choose one of the twisted sectors X , and consider the setwise stabilizer subgroup $H \subset G$ of X . By the orbit-stabilizer theorem, H has index 15. Note that the conjugacy class of H is independent of the choice of X , which is why we will write H rather than H^X . With g_i , $i = 1, \dots, 15$ a set of representatives of G/H , we can then write the representation as

$$\mathbf{15} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{15} \mathbb{C} g_i . \quad (3.24)$$

Since $g_i \in G/H$ there is a natural action from the left of G which defines the representation $\rho(G)$. In fact it is easy to check that this is precisely the **15** representation of the octad group G .

Next we want to describe the group action on a general element of V^\perp . We could of course construct the full representation ρ if we knew the transport matrices γ_{ij} . In

principle we could obtain them through conformal perturbation theory. We have not attempted to do this, and will instead just use some of their general properties. In particular we do not expect parallel transport to mix different twist sectors, but rather only transform states within a given sector. This means that the transport matrices γ_{ij} are block diagonal, so that the representation matrices ρ still have the same form as (3.16),

$$\rho(g)V_X \subset V_{\sigma_g(X)} \quad X = A, B, \dots, N, \quad (3.25)$$

where σ_g can again be obtained from (3.9). Note however that because of the γ_{ij} , ρ is no longer simply a tensor product of a monomial representation with another representation. However, (3.25) does mean that ρ is a so-called *imprimitive* representation, see, e.g., [16]. The system of imprimitivity is V_A, \dots, V_N . It is straightforward to see that G acts transitively on it. From a general theorem of representation theory, see again, e.g., [16], it follows that ρ can be written as an induced representation of the stabilizer subgroup H .

More explicitly, let ρ^N be the representation of H on V_N . We can then write the representation V^\perp as the induced representation

$$V^\perp = \text{Ind}_H^G \rho^N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{15} g_i V_N. \quad (3.26)$$

Conversely, note that the permutations σ_g of the induced representation is fixed by H and the action of G on the cosets G/H , and is therefore independent of ρ^N . This means, in particular, that representations induced from any representation ρ^N of H will be permuted in the correct way to be compatible with our proposal. The prediction is thus that all representations of G that appear in V^\perp are in fact induced from representations ρ^N of the stabilizer group H .

The sector N_N which we have chosen is mapped to itself by T and the generators $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \gamma_1$ and γ_2 . The vector-space stabilizer $\text{Stab}(N_N)$, is given by $H := ((\mathbb{Z}_2)^6 \rtimes PSL(3, 2)) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ and, as expected, is an index 15 subgroup of G . It is generated by the T generators together with

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &:= \alpha_1 \cdot \gamma_1 \cdot \alpha_1, \\ \eta_2 &:= \gamma_3 \cdot \alpha_2 \cdot \alpha_1 \cdot \gamma_1 \cdot \beta_1 \cdot \alpha_1, \\ \eta_3 &:= \alpha_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

If the proposal of symmetry surfing is correct, we thus expect that all representations in V^\perp are induced from representations of H . This explains the motivation behind the first statement in Theorem 1.

4 Results

4.1 Induced representations

In section 3.4 we motivated the statements of Theorem 1. Let us now prove them. We first prove the first statement by checking that V^\perp is indeed an induced representation of

H . As mentioned above, we define the projector P_r as

$$P_r = \frac{1}{16} \sum_{h \in T} h , \quad (4.1)$$

and P_\perp as the projector on the orthogonal complement

$$P_\perp = 1 - P_r . \quad (4.2)$$

This allows us to define V^\perp and V^{rest} as

$$V^\perp = P_\perp V , \quad V^{\text{rest}} = P_r V . \quad (4.3)$$

Since T is a normal subgroup of G , P_r commutes with the action of G . The irreducible representations of G thus fall into two sets,

$$V^\perp : \quad \mathcal{R}_{\text{tw}} \equiv \{\mathbf{15}, \mathbf{45}', \overline{\mathbf{45}}', \mathbf{90}, \mathbf{105}_1, \mathbf{105}_2, \mathbf{105}_3, \mathbf{120}, \mathbf{210}, \mathbf{315}_1, \mathbf{315}_2\} , \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$V^{\text{rest}} : \quad \mathcal{R}_0 \equiv \{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{14}, \mathbf{20}, \mathbf{21}, \mathbf{21}', \overline{\mathbf{21}}', \mathbf{28}, \mathbf{35}, \mathbf{45}, \overline{\mathbf{45}}, \mathbf{56}, \mathbf{64}, \mathbf{70}\} . \quad (4.5)$$

This is easily shown using the character table of G in appendix E: all 15 non-trivial elements of T are in the conjugacy class $2a$, so that indeed

$$\text{Tr}_{V^{\text{rest}}} P_r = \dim V^{\text{rest}} \quad \text{for } V^{\text{rest}} \in \mathcal{R}_0 \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$\text{Tr}_{V^\perp} P_r = \dim V^\perp - \frac{\dim V^\perp}{15} \cdot 15 = 0 \quad \text{for } V^\perp \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{tw}} . \quad (4.7)$$

We then check using GAP [17] that all representations of G in \mathcal{R}_{tw} are induced representations, which proves the statement. Note that \mathcal{R}_0 also contains two induced representations, namely $\mathbf{45}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{45}}$; the complete set of induced representations is given in Table 1.

G	$\mathbf{15}$	$\mathbf{45}'$	$\overline{\mathbf{45}}'$	$\mathbf{45}$	$\overline{\mathbf{45}}$	$\mathbf{90}$	$\mathbf{105}_1$	$\mathbf{105}_2$	$\mathbf{105}_3$	$\mathbf{120}$	$\mathbf{210}$	$\mathbf{315}_1$	$\mathbf{315}_2$
H	$\mathbf{1}'$	$\mathbf{3}$	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	$\mathbf{3}'$	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}'$	$\mathbf{6}'$	$\mathbf{7}_4$	$\mathbf{7}_2$	$\mathbf{7}_5$	$\mathbf{8}'$	$\mathbf{14}_2$	$\mathbf{21}_2$	$\mathbf{21}_3$

Table 1: The G representations that are induced from H , and the H representations from which they are induced.

Since we know the decomposition of the elliptic genus in terms of \mathbb{M}_{24} representations, and since the octad group G is a subgroup of \mathbb{M}_{24} , $G \subset \mathbb{M}_{24}$, we can unambiguously decompose all \mathbb{M}_{24} representations in terms of G representations. Then we divide these representations into the contributions coming from V^\perp and V^{rest} , respectively, using the division coming from \mathcal{R}_{tw} and \mathcal{R}_0 . This analysis can be done once and for all for each \mathbb{M}_{24} representation, and the result of this analysis is summarised in Table 2. With this knowledge at hand, we can now take the known decomposition of the elliptic genus in terms of \mathbb{M}_{24} representations, and deduce from it the decomposition in terms of V^\perp and V^{rest} ; for the first few levels this is done explicitly in Table 3, see appendix D.

\mathbb{M}_{24}	V^\perp	V^{rest}
1	\cdot	1
23	15	$1 \oplus 7$
45	$45'$	\cdot
$\overline{45}$	$\overline{45}'$	\cdot
231	210	$21'$
$\overline{231}$	210	$\overline{21}'$
252	$15 \oplus 90 \oplus 105_3$	$1 \oplus 7 \oplus 14 \oplus 20$
253	$15 \oplus 105_1 \oplus 105_3$	$7 \oplus 21$
483	$15 \oplus 90 \oplus 105_3 \oplus 210$	$1 \oplus 14 \oplus 20 \oplus 28$
770	$105_2 \oplus 315_1 \oplus 315_2$	35
$\overline{770}$	$105_2 \oplus 315_1 \oplus 315_2$	35
990	$2 \cdot 315_1 \oplus 315_2$	45
$\overline{990}$	$2 \cdot 315_1 \oplus 315_2$	$\overline{45}$
1035	$90 \oplus 105_2 \oplus 105_3 \oplus 120 \oplus 210 \oplus 315_2$	$14 \oplus 20 \oplus 56$
$1035'$	$45' \oplus 2 \cdot 315_1 \oplus 315_2$	45
$\overline{1035}'$	$\overline{45}' \oplus 2 \cdot 315_1 \oplus 315_2$	$\overline{45}$
1265	$15 \oplus 2 \cdot 90 \oplus 105_1 \oplus 105_3 \oplus 2 \cdot 210 \oplus 315_2$	$7 \oplus 20 \oplus 28 \oplus 70$
1771	$105_1 \oplus 2 \cdot 105_2 \oplus 105_3 \oplus 2 \cdot 315_1 \oplus 2 \cdot 315_2$	$21 \oplus 2 \cdot 35$
2024	$90 \oplus 105_2 \oplus 105_3 \oplus 120$ $\oplus 210 \oplus 2 \cdot 315_1 \oplus 2 \cdot 315_2$	$14 \oplus 56 \oplus 64$
2277	$15 \oplus 90 \oplus 105_1 \oplus 105_3 \oplus 120$ $\oplus 2 \cdot 210 \oplus 2 \cdot 315_1 \oplus 2 \cdot 315_2$	$28 \oplus 64 \oplus 70$
3312	$15 \oplus 2 \cdot 90 \oplus 105_1 \oplus 105_2 \oplus 2 \cdot 105_3$ $\oplus 2 \cdot 120 \oplus 3 \cdot 210 \oplus 2 \cdot 315_1 \oplus 3 \cdot 315_2$	$14 \oplus 20 \oplus 28 \oplus 56 \oplus 64 \oplus 70$
3520	$15 \oplus 3 \cdot 90 \oplus 2 \cdot 105_1 \oplus 105_2 \oplus 3 \cdot 105_3$ $\oplus 120 \oplus 3 \cdot 210 \oplus 2 \cdot 315_1 \oplus 3 \cdot 315_2$	$7 \oplus 14 \oplus 20 \oplus 21 \oplus 28$ $\oplus 56 \oplus 64 \oplus 70$
5313	$3 \cdot 90 \oplus 105_1 \oplus 105_2 \oplus 2 \cdot 105_3$ $\oplus 3 \cdot 120 \oplus 5 \cdot 210 \oplus 4 \cdot 315_1 \oplus 5 \cdot 315_2$	$20 \oplus 21' \oplus \overline{21}' \oplus 2 \cdot 56$ $\oplus 64 \oplus 2 \cdot 70$
5544	$2 \cdot 45' \oplus 2 \cdot \overline{45}' \oplus 2 \cdot 105_1 \oplus 3 \cdot 105_2 \oplus 105_3$ $\oplus 2 \cdot 120 \oplus 2 \cdot 210 \oplus 6 \cdot 315_1 \oplus 6 \cdot 315_2$	$2 \cdot 35 \oplus 45 \oplus \overline{45} \oplus 64 \oplus 70$
5796	$2 \cdot 45' \oplus 2 \cdot \overline{45}' \oplus 90 \oplus 105_1 \oplus 2 \cdot 105_2 \oplus 105_3$ $\oplus 3 \cdot 120 \oplus 3 \cdot 210 \oplus 6 \cdot 315_1 \oplus 6 \cdot 315_2$	$45 \oplus \overline{45} \oplus 2 \cdot 56 \oplus 64 \oplus 70$
10395	$45' \oplus \overline{45}' \oplus 2 \cdot 90 \oplus 4 \cdot 105_1 \oplus 3 \cdot 105_2 \oplus 3 \cdot 105_3$ $\oplus 3 \cdot 120 \oplus 7 \cdot 210 \oplus 11 \cdot 315_1 \oplus 10 \cdot 315_2$	$21 \oplus 21' \oplus \overline{21}' \oplus 28 \oplus 35 \oplus 2 \cdot 45$ $\oplus 2 \cdot \overline{45} \oplus 56 \oplus 2 \cdot 64 \oplus 2 \cdot 70$

Table 2: Decomposition of \mathbb{M}_{24} irreducible representations into Octad irreps and their splitting into the subspaces V^\perp and V^{rest} .

4.2 Geometric representations

The first consistency check is then that this reproduces correctly the graded dimensions of V_h^\perp . In particular, the sums of dimensions that appear in the second column of Table 3 in appendix D must add up to $15D_n$, see eq. (2.19), and this indeed turns out to be correct.

In this section we prove the second statement of Theorem 1, that is that the restriction of V_h^\perp to any of the three geometric subgroups G_i , $i = 0, 1, 2$, is isomorphic to the representations ρ^{G_i} ,

$$\text{Res}_{G_i} V_h^\perp \cong \rho_h^{G_i} .$$

We will establish this by comparing characters.

In order to do this calculation efficiently, it is much easier to evaluate the full character including the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ descendants, rather than restrict to the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ primary states (that are counted by V_h^\perp). Let us denote the full space (including descendants) by W^\perp . For the purposes of the representation theory of G , W_h^\perp is then simply a direct sum of V_h^\perp and the lower lying V_h^\perp , the multiplicities given by the number of descendants, which we can read off from the known $\mathcal{N} = 4$ characters. For the first few cases we have

$$W_{1/2}^\perp = V_{1/2}^\perp \tag{4.8}$$

$$W_1^\perp = V_{1/2}^\perp \oplus V_1^\perp \tag{4.9}$$

$$W_2^\perp = 5 \cdot V_{1/2}^\perp \oplus 7 \cdot V_1^\perp \oplus V_2^\perp \tag{4.10}$$

$$W_3^\perp = 14 \cdot V_{1/2}^\perp \oplus 25 \cdot V_1^\perp \oplus 7 \cdot V_2^\perp \oplus V_3^\perp \tag{4.11}$$

$$W_4^\perp = 36 \cdot V_{1/2}^\perp \oplus 70 \cdot V_1^\perp \oplus 25 \cdot V_2^\perp \oplus 7 \cdot V_3^\perp \oplus V_4^\perp , \tag{4.12}$$

etc. From the triangular form of this, it is clear that if the characters agree on the W_h^\perp , they will also agree on the V_h^\perp , and vice versa. The only slight subtlety here is that we also need to know the representation of $V_{1/2}^\perp$, which contributes to the massless $\mathcal{N} = 4$ representations, i.e., to the coefficient of 20 in (1.1). As was explained in section 3.3, this representation is simply

$$V_{1/2}^\perp = W_{1/2}^\perp = \mathbf{15} \oplus \mathbf{15} . \tag{4.13}$$

Note that this is compatible with $\mathbf{20} = \mathbf{23} - 3 \cdot \mathbf{1}$, using the fact that the V^\perp part of $\mathbf{23}$ is precisely $\mathbf{15}$.

We define the specialized graded character as

$$\phi_g^\perp(\tau) := \text{Tr}_{W^\perp} \left(g q^{L_0 - 1/4} \right) . \tag{4.14}$$

If g is a geometric element, i.e. if g is in one of the geometric groups G_i , we can evaluate $\phi_g^\perp(\tau)$, since we know W^\perp explicitly, and in this case we also know the action of g . For the details of this computation, see appendix C.

As expected, the result shows that for all geometric g , ϕ_g^\perp only depends on the G -conjugacy class of g . In fact all geometric elements fall into 5 out of the 25 conjugacy

classes of G , which are denoted by $1a, 2a, 3a, 2b, 4a$, with $1 \in 1a$. The result is

$$\phi_g^\perp(\tau) = \begin{cases} 15\hat{\phi}_1(\tau) & : g = e \\ -\hat{\phi}_1(\tau) & : g \in 2a \\ 0 & : g \in 3a \\ 3\hat{\phi}_i(\tau) & : g \in 2b \\ -\hat{\phi}_i(\tau) & : g \in 4a \end{cases} \quad (4.15)$$

where

$$\hat{\phi}_1(\tau) = T_{l=0}(\tau, 0) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\vartheta_2(\tau)^2}{\vartheta_4(\tau)^2} \quad (4.16)$$

and

$$\hat{\phi}_i(\tau) = \frac{\vartheta_2(2\tau)}{\vartheta_3(2\tau)} . \quad (4.17)$$

Knowing $\hat{\phi}(\tau)$ fixes the $\rho_h^{G_i}$. In principle we could of course construct them explicitly from the Fock space of the torus orbifold, but we will be satisfied by computing their characters.

It remains to compute the characters of the left-hand side of (1.3). To this end we use the fact that the \mathbb{M}_{24} twining genera are known; they are defined as

$$\chi_g(\tau) = \sum_n \chi_n(g) q^{n-1/4} , \quad (4.18)$$

where $\chi_n(g)$ is the character of the \mathbb{M}_{24} representation W_n . To obtain the analogue for W^\perp , we use the fact that the projector P_\perp can be written as (4.1). This leads us to define

$$\chi_g^\perp(\tau) := \chi_g(\tau) - \frac{1}{16} \sum_{h \in T} \chi_{hg}(\tau) , \quad (4.19)$$

which we can determine from the knowledge of the twining genera $\chi_{\bar{g}}(\tau)$, which were all obtained in [3, 4, 5, 6]. We will follow the conventions and notation of [4], except for an overall factor of -2 in the definition of the $\chi_g(\tau)$. We will denote the conjugacy classes of \mathbb{M}_{24} by uppercase letters, whereas we denote conjugacy classes of G by lowercase letters, taking their labeling from the character table 4. They are then related by

$$1a = 1A , \quad 2a \subset 2A , \quad 3a \subset 3A , \quad 2b \subset 2A , \quad 4a \subset 4B . \quad (4.20)$$

We can then evaluate (4.19) by using the expressions

$$\chi_{1A}(\tau) = -8 \frac{\vartheta_4(\tau)^2}{\vartheta_2(\tau)^2} + 8 \frac{\vartheta_2(\tau)^2}{\vartheta_4(\tau)^2} , \quad (4.21)$$

$$\chi_{2A}(\tau) = -8 \frac{\vartheta_4(\tau)^2}{\vartheta_2(\tau)^2} , \quad (4.22)$$

$$\chi_{3A}(\tau) = -2 \left(\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\eta(3\tau)} \right)^3 \frac{\vartheta_3(3\tau)}{\vartheta_3(\tau)} \quad (4.23)$$

$$\chi_{4B}(\tau) = -4 \frac{\vartheta_3(2\tau)}{\vartheta_2(2\tau)} . \quad (4.24)$$

For $g = e$ we get

$$\chi_g^\perp(\tau) = \frac{15}{16}\chi_{1A}(\tau) - \frac{15}{16}\chi_{2A}(\tau) = 15T(\tau) , \quad (4.25)$$

while for $g \in 2a$ we find

$$\chi_g^\perp(\tau) = \frac{1}{16}(\chi_{2A}(\tau) - \chi_{1A}(\tau)) = -T(\tau) . \quad (4.26)$$

For $3a$, we pick the representative $\gamma_3 \in 3a$. We find that $h\gamma_3 \in 3A$ for all $h \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4$, so that

$$\chi_g^\perp(\tau) = \chi_{3A}(\tau) - \chi_{3A}(\tau) = 0 . \quad (4.27)$$

For $2b$, we pick $\gamma_1 \in 2b$. We find that 3 of the nontrivial elements of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^4$ are mapped to $2A$, and the other 12 to $4B$, giving in total

$$\chi_g^\perp(\tau) = \frac{3}{4}(\chi_{2A}(\tau) - \chi_{4B}(\tau)) . \quad (4.28)$$

For $4a$, picking $\iota_1\gamma_1 \in 4a$ we find that 4 of the nontrivial elements of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^4$ are mapped to $2A$, and the other 11 to $4B$, giving

$$\chi_g^\perp(\tau) = -\frac{1}{4}(\chi_{2A}(\tau) - \chi_{4B}(\tau)) . \quad (4.29)$$

Using the identity

$$-\frac{1}{4}\chi_{4B}(\tau) + \frac{1}{4}\chi_{2A}(\tau) = \frac{\vartheta_3(2\tau)}{\vartheta_2(2\tau)} - 2\frac{\vartheta_4(\tau)^2}{\vartheta_2(\tau)^2} = \frac{\vartheta_2(2\tau)}{\vartheta_3(2\tau)} , \quad (4.30)$$

which follows directly from [18] eqs. (8.199) 3. and 6., we find in all cases

$$\phi_g^\perp(\tau) = \chi_g^\perp(\tau) . \quad (4.31)$$

Finally let us show that the geometric action on V^{rest} is compatible with the \mathbb{M}_{24} representation theory. In appendix C, see in particular section C.3, we compute the geometric characters $\phi_g^r(\tau)$ for V^{rest} . We find

$$\phi_g^r(\tau) = \begin{cases} -8\frac{\vartheta_4(\tau)^2}{\vartheta_2(\tau)^2} + \hat{\phi}_1(\tau) & g \in 1a, 2a \\ \phi_\zeta^{\text{ut}}(\tau) + \hat{\phi}_\zeta(\tau) & g \in 3a \\ -4\frac{\vartheta_3(2\tau)}{\vartheta_2(2\tau)} + \hat{\phi}_i(\tau) & g \in 2b, 4a \end{cases} \quad (4.32)$$

Together with (4.15) and (C.7) it then follows that

$$\phi_g^r(\tau) + \phi_g^\perp(\tau) = \chi_g(\tau) , \quad (4.33)$$

at least for $g \in 1a, 2a$ and $g \in 2b, 4a$; for $g \in 3a$ we have only verified this identity to high order — but because of the modular properties of the functions in question, this is then also sufficient to show the result. Taken together this therefore proves Theorem 1. \square

5 Conclusions

In this paper we have subjected the symmetry surfing idea of Taormina and Wendland [11, 12] to a stringent consistency check. In particular, their idea predicts that the surviving contribution from the twisted sector (after performing some deformation to move off the orbifold moduli space) should furnish a representation of the octad group. The octad group is not the symmetry group of an individual K3 sigma model, but arises upon putting the symmetries of three special sigma models together. The fact that we find very convincing evidence for the presence of this octad symmetry is therefore a non-trivial confirmation of their philosophy.

The octad approach also seems promising from another viewpoint. As we have explained in the paper, there is a simple division of octad representations according to whether they arise in the orthogonal part of the twisted sector V^\perp , or in the rest, V^{rest} , see eqs. (4.4) and (4.5). Since the representations in \mathcal{R}_0 are precisely those representations that are invariant under T , while \mathcal{R}_{tw} contains the remaining representations, the tensor products of representations in \mathcal{R}_0 close among themselves, while those involving a representation in \mathcal{R}_0 and one in \mathcal{R}_{tw} lie in \mathcal{R}_{tw} , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{R}_0 \otimes \mathcal{R}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{R}_0, \quad \mathcal{R}_0 \otimes \mathcal{R}_{\text{tw}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\text{tw}}; \quad (5.1)$$

incidentally, this property can also be checked directly. (The tensor products of representations in \mathcal{R}_{tw} with themselves lead to representations both in \mathcal{R}_0 and \mathcal{R}_{tw} .)

This observation is nicely compatible with the idea of an underlying algebra structure. One interpretation is that V^{rest} is associated to an T invariant subspace of a VOA-like structure, and that V^\perp is a \mathbb{Z}_2^4 -twisted module; this is also compatible with the S modular transformation properties of $\phi_g^r(\tau)$ and $\phi_g^\perp(\tau)$. It would be very interesting to explore this idea further.

Acknowledgements

This paper is based on the Master thesis of one of us (H.P.). We thank Jeff Harvey and Greg Moore for initial collaboration on this project. It is a pleasure to thank Simeon Hellerman, Geoff Mason, Anne Taormina, Roberto Volpato, Katrin Wendland for helpful discussions. CAK thanks the Harvard University High Energy Theory Group for hospitality. CAK is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation through the NCCR SwissMAP. The research of MRG is also supported partly by the NCCR SwissMAP, funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

A The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ algebra at the orbifold point

We denote the complex fermions and complex bosons of \mathbb{T}^4 as

$$\Psi^i, \quad \bar{\Psi}^i, \quad \partial X^i, \quad \partial \bar{X}^i, \quad (A.1)$$

where $i = 1, 2$. In what follows we will only discuss the left-movers. The right-movers, which we denote by tilde, are completely analogous. The modes of the left-moving fields obey the standard (anti-)commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial X_m^i, \partial \bar{X}_n^j] &= m \delta^{ij} \delta_{m,-n} , \\ \{\Psi_r^i, \bar{\Psi}_s^j\} &= \delta^{ij} \delta_{r,-s} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Sometimes we will also use uppercase indices to write $\Psi^I, I = 1, 2, 3, 4$ with the understanding that $\Psi^3 := \bar{\Psi}^1$ etc. In terms of these free fields, the $\mathfrak{su}(2)_R$ R -currents of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal algebra are given by

$$J^{+,3} = \frac{1}{2i} (\Psi^1 \bar{\Psi}^1 + \Psi^2 \bar{\Psi}^2) = \frac{1}{2i} \delta_{ij} \Psi^i \bar{\Psi}^j , \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$J^{++} = -\Psi^1 \Psi^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ij} \Psi^i \Psi^j , \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$J^{+-} = \bar{\Psi}^1 \bar{\Psi}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ij} \bar{\Psi}^i \bar{\Psi}^j , \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where we work in the usual Cartan-Weyl basis for $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supercurrents are then given by

$$G^+ = \delta_{ij} \Psi^i \partial \bar{X}^j, \quad G^- = \epsilon_{ij} \bar{\Psi}^i \partial \bar{X}^j , \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$G'^+ = \epsilon_{ij} \Psi^i \partial X^j, \quad G'^- = \delta_{ij} \bar{\Psi}^i \partial X^j . \quad (\text{A.7})$$

The pairs (G^+, G^-) and (G'^+, G'^-) form indeed doublets under the zero modes of $\mathfrak{su}(2)_R$.

The symmetry group for the torus orbifold is actually bigger. In particular there is an additional $\text{SU}(2)$ symmetry which commutes with the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal generators. The corresponding currents are given as

$$J^{-,3} = \frac{1}{2i} (-\Psi^1 \bar{\Psi}^1 + \Psi^2 \bar{\Psi}^2) , \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$J^{-+} = -\Psi^1 \bar{\Psi}^2 , \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$J^{--} = \bar{\Psi}^1 \Psi^2 . \quad (\text{A.10})$$

With respect to this symmetry Ψ^i and ∂X^i then transform in the $\mathbf{2}$, while $\bar{\Psi}$ and $\partial \bar{X}$ transform in the $\bar{\mathbf{2}}$ (which is equivalent). In particular, the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal fields J and G^\pm are invariant under these $\text{SU}(2)$ transformations.

B Elliptic genus and characters

B.1 The untwisted sector

As a partial partition function, the elliptic genus in the $\text{R}\tilde{\text{R}}$ sector counts left-moving states with statistics signs due to the $(-1)^F$ factor in the trace. However, after spectral

flow to the left-moving NS sector, we choose not to insert $(-1)^F$ so that all states are counted with the same sign. Furthermore, we choose the convention that positive charges are counted by positive powers of y , whereas negative charges give powers of y^{-1} . It is well known that bosonic states created by a single bosonic oscillator a_n from the vacuum are counted by the infinite product $\prod_n (1 - q^n)^{-1}$. Similarly, the states of a single positively charged fermion (with half-integer modes) are counted by the product $\prod_n (1 + q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}y)$, and, accordingly, a negatively charged fermion results in the product $\prod_n (1 + q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}y^{-1})$. In our K3 sigma model, we have four bosonic fields ∂X^I together with two positively and two negatively charged fermions Ψ^i and $\bar{\Psi}^i$, respectively. Putting everything together, this then gives rise to a partition function of the form

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}y)^2 (1 + q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}y^{-1})^2}{(1 - q^n)^4}. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

However, we have neglected the \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold projection so far. For the orbifold even ground state with right-moving $l = \frac{1}{2}$, only the states with an even number of modes contribute since all other states are projected out. In terms of partition functions, this can be done by adding a second term to the contribution (B.1). If we reverse the sign in front of the q -monomials in the above expression, states with an odd number of modes will pick up a minus sign and therefore they cancel when both terms are added together. This yields the untwisted $l = \frac{1}{2}$ partition function

$$\begin{aligned} U_{l=\frac{1}{2}}(\tau, z) &= \frac{1}{2} q^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}y)^2 (1 + q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}y^{-1})^2}{(1 - q^n)^4} + \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}y)^2 (1 - q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}y^{-1})^2}{(1 + q^n)^4} \right) \\ &= q^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left[1 + q \left(y^2 + 4 + \frac{1}{y^2} \right) + q^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(8y + \frac{8}{y} \right) + q^2 \left(4y^2 + 19 + \frac{4}{y^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(q^{\frac{5}{2}}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where the shift by $q^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ is due to the NS-vacuum, coming from the $q^{L_0 - \frac{1}{4}}$ insertion in the definition of $\phi_{\text{K3}}^{\text{NS}}$, see (2.4).

On the other hand, the ground state with right-moving $l = 0$ is orbifold odd, therefore only states with an odd number of modes created from this vacuum contribute to the elliptic genus. In that case, we have to cancel the even combinations and we find the untwisted $l = 0$ partition function to take the form

$$\begin{aligned} U_{l=0}(\tau, z) &= q^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}y)^2 (1 + q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}y^{-1})^2}{(1 - q^n)^4} - \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}y)^2 (1 - q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}y^{-1})^2}{(1 + q^n)^4} \right) \\ &= q^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left[\sqrt{q} \left(4y + \frac{4}{y} \right) + 8q + q^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(8y + \frac{8}{y} \right) + q^2 \left(8y^2 + 40 + \frac{8}{y^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(q^{\frac{5}{2}}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where the factor of two from (2.13) is already included in this expression.

In order to find the number of primary fields in each sector, we decompose the given

partition functions into $\mathcal{N} = 4$ characters. One finds

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{l=\frac{1}{2}}(\tau, z) &= ch_{0,l=0}^{\text{NS}}(\tau, z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n ch_{h=n,l=0}^{\text{NS}}(\tau, z) , \\
U_{l=0}(\tau, z) &= 4 ch_{0,l=\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{NS}}(\tau, z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n ch_{h=n,l=0}^{\text{NS}}(\tau, z) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

where the coefficients B_n and C_n count the number of primary fields in each sector. They can be computed by a series expansion of the partition functions, using the explicit formulae for the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ characters from [19, 20], and one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
B_n &= \{3, 1, 18, 15, 68, 89, 249, 358, 799, 1236, \dots\} , \\
C_n &= \{0, 16, 8, 72, 80, 264, 360, 904, 1360, 2808, \dots\} .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

B.2 The twisted sector

In the twisted sector, the states are localized at fixed points of the $\mathbb{T}^4/\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold. There are 2^4 fixed points and thus we have 16 corresponding ground states which we denote by $|\alpha\rangle$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_2^4$. Since the orbifold projection exchanges the periodicity condition of fields, there are no fermionic zero modes in the twisted $\text{R}\tilde{\text{R}}$ sector. Therefore, the vacuum state is orbifold even and has quantum numbers $h = \tilde{h} = \frac{1}{4}$ and $l = \tilde{l} = 0$.

However, we work in the $\text{NS}\tilde{\text{R}}$ sector, where due to spectral flow on the left-moving part one has integer fermionic modes and half-integer bosonic modes. This reintroduces left-moving fermionic zero modes such that the twisted ground states are given by

$$|\alpha\rangle := (|l = \frac{1}{2}\rangle_{\text{NS}}^{\alpha} \otimes |l = 0\rangle_{\text{R}}^{\alpha}) \oplus 2 \cdot (|l = 0\rangle_{\text{NS}}^{\alpha} \otimes |l = 0\rangle_{\text{R}}^{\alpha}) , \tag{B.6}$$

where the label α denotes one of the 16 fixed points. In order to account for these ground states, we simply multiply the partition function by a factor of $(y + 2 + y^{-1})$. Note that the left term of (B.6) is orbifold even and the right one orbifold odd. As in the untwisted sector, we account for the orbifold projection by subtracting respectively adding a corresponding term in the partition function. The partition function for one twisted ground state $|\alpha\rangle$ is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{l=0}^{\alpha}(\tau, z) &= \frac{1}{2} q^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left((y + 2 + y^{-1}) q^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + q^n y)^2 (1 + q^n y^{-1})^2}{(1 - q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^4} - \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (-y + 2 - y^{-1}) q^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^n y)^2 (1 - q^n y^{-1})^2}{(1 + q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^4} \right) \\
&= q^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left[\sqrt{q} \left(y + \frac{1}{y} \right) + 8q + q^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(14y + \frac{14}{y} \right) + q^2 \left(8y^2 + 64 + \frac{8}{y^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(q^{\frac{5}{2}}) \right] .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

Similarly, we can decompose $T_{l=0}^{\alpha}(\tau, z)$ into $\mathcal{N} = 4$ characters [19, 20] according to

$$T_{l=0}^{\alpha}(\tau, z) = ch_{0,l=\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{NS}}(\tau, z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n ch_{h=n,l=0}^{\text{NS}}(\tau, z) , \tag{B.8}$$

where the coefficients D_n give the number of twisted primary fields at level $h = n$, namely

$$D_n = \{6, 28, 98, 282, 728, 1734, 3864, 8182, 16618, \dots\} . \quad (\text{B.9})$$

B.3 Elliptic genus

Finally, let us examine how the untwisted and twisted partition functions contribute to the elliptic genus. According to equation (2.15) the untwisted and twisted sectors, expressed in terms of Jacobi theta functions, contribute as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\text{untw}}^{\text{NS}}(\tau, z) &= -2 U_{l=\frac{1}{2}}(\tau, z) + U_{l=0}(\tau, z) = -8 \left(\frac{\vartheta_4(\tau, z)}{\vartheta_2(\tau, 0)} \right)^2 , \\ \phi_{\text{tw}}^{\text{NS}}(\tau, z) &= 16 T_{l=0}^\alpha(\tau, z) = 8 \left(\frac{\vartheta_2(\tau, z)}{\vartheta_4(\tau, 0)} \right)^2 - 8 \left(\frac{\vartheta_1(\tau, z)}{\vartheta_3(\tau, 0)} \right)^2 , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

such that their sum $\phi_{\text{untw}}^{\text{NS}}(\tau, z) + \phi_{\text{tw}}^{\text{NS}}(\tau, z)$ correctly reproduces (2.5).

C Geometric Characters

C.1 Geometric Characters

In this appendix we compute the geometric characters. For simplicity we will set $z = 0$. We do not lose any information that way, since the charges of states are essentially fixed by the $N = 4$ representation theory. We therefor need to determine

$$\phi_g^{\text{tw}}(\tau) = \text{Tr}_{W^\perp} \left(g q^{L_0 - 1/4} \right) \quad (\text{C.1})$$

and

$$\phi_g^{\text{r}}(\tau) = \text{Tr}_{W^{\text{rest}}} \left(g q^{L_0 - 1/4} \right) \quad (\text{C.2})$$

for all geometric elements g . As usual, it is enough to do this for one representative per conjugacy class of a geometric group.

An element g acts as a permutation (3.9) on the twisted sectors, and as an element of $SU(2)$ (3.17) – (3.20) on the oscillator modes. Since we are computing the character, the result only depends on the eigenvalues λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ of the 2×2 matrix $M(g)$, and the trace of the monomial representation, i.e. the number of fixed points with signs of the permutation.

If g has eigenvalues $\lambda = e^{2\pi i u}$ and $\bar{\lambda} = e^{-2\pi i u}$, the character of its action on a single

twisted sector is

$$\hat{\phi}_\lambda(\tau) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{4}}}{2} \left((2 + \lambda + \bar{\lambda}) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \lambda q^n)^2 (1 + \bar{\lambda} q^n)^2}{(1 - \lambda q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^2 (1 - \bar{\lambda} q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^2} \right. \quad (\text{C.3})$$

$$\left. + (2 - \lambda - \bar{\lambda}) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \lambda q^n)^2 (1 - \bar{\lambda} q^n)^2}{(1 + \lambda q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^2 (1 + \bar{\lambda} q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^2} \right) \quad (\text{C.4})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\vartheta_2(\tau, u)}{\vartheta_4(\tau, u)} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\vartheta_1(\tau, u)}{\vartheta_3(\tau, u)} \right)^2. \quad (\text{C.5})$$

The form of the products follows from the action of g on the oscillators. The prefactors come from the fact that the left-moving ground states $\Psi_0^1|0\rangle_{\text{NS}}$ and $\Psi_0^2|0\rangle_{\text{NS}}$ are orbifold odd and have eigenvalues λ and $\bar{\lambda}$, whereas $|0\rangle_{\text{NS}}$ and $\Psi_0^1\Psi_0^2|0\rangle_{\text{NS}}$ are orbifold even and invariant under g . The right-moving Ramond ground state $|0\rangle_{\text{R}}$ is also invariant under g . The total result in the twisted sector is thus

$$\phi_g^{\text{tw}}(\tau) = N_{\text{fp}}(g) \hat{\phi}_\lambda(\tau), \quad (\text{C.6})$$

where $N_{\text{fp}}(g)$ is the number of fixed points with signs. In what follows, the eigenvalues λ will always be $1, i$ or $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/3}$. For the first two cases we have

$$\hat{\phi}_1(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\vartheta_2(\tau)}{\vartheta_4(\tau)} \right)^2 = T_{l=0}(\tau, 0), \quad \hat{\phi}_i(\tau) = \frac{\vartheta_2(2\tau)}{\vartheta_3(2\tau)}, \quad (\text{C.7})$$

while we have not managed to find a simple formula for the case $\lambda = \zeta = e^{2\pi i/3}$.

In the untwisted sector, the permutation part of the action acts trivially. The character for the states in the right-moving $l = \frac{1}{2}$ representation is

$$\phi_\lambda^{l=\frac{1}{2}}(\tau) = \frac{q^{-1/4}}{2} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \lambda q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^2 (1 + \bar{\lambda} q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^2}{(1 - \lambda q^n)^2 (1 - \bar{\lambda} q^n)^2} + \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \lambda q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^2 (1 - \bar{\lambda} q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^2}{(1 + \lambda q^n)^2 (1 + \bar{\lambda} q^n)^2} \right) \quad (\text{C.8})$$

$$= 2 \sin(\pi u)^2 \frac{\vartheta_3(\tau, u)^2}{\vartheta_1(\tau, u)^2} + 2 \cos(\pi u)^2 \frac{\vartheta_4(\tau, u)^2}{\vartheta_2(\tau, u)^2}. \quad (\text{C.9})$$

Note that from (2.11) the right-moving $l = \frac{1}{2}$ representation in the Ramond sector is invariant under g and is orbifold even. For the right-moving $l = 0$ representation we get

$$\phi_\lambda^{l=0}(\tau) = \frac{\lambda + \bar{\lambda}}{2} q^{-1/4} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \lambda q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^2 (1 + \bar{\lambda} q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^2}{(1 - \lambda q^n)^2 (1 - \bar{\lambda} q^n)^2} - \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \lambda q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^2 (1 - \bar{\lambda} q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^2}{(1 + \lambda q^n)^2 (1 + \bar{\lambda} q^n)^2} \right) \quad (\text{C.10})$$

$$= 4 \cos(2\pi u) \left(\sin(\pi u)^2 \frac{\vartheta_3(\tau, u)^2}{\vartheta_1(\tau, u)^2} - \cos(\pi u)^2 \frac{\vartheta_4(\tau, u)^2}{\vartheta_2(\tau, u)^2} \right). \quad (\text{C.11})$$

The prefactor comes from the eigenvalues of the right-moving Ramond ground states $\Psi_0^1|0\rangle_{\tilde{R}}$ and $\Psi_0^2|0\rangle_{\tilde{R}}$. The untwisted contribution is thus

$$\phi_\lambda^{\text{ut}}(\tau) = -2\phi_\lambda^{l=\frac{1}{2}}(\tau) + \phi_\lambda^{l=0}(\tau) = -8 \left(\sin^4 \pi u \frac{\vartheta_3(\tau, u)^2}{\vartheta_1(\tau, u)^2} + \cos^4 \pi u \frac{\vartheta_4(\tau, u)^2}{\vartheta_2(\tau, u)^2} \right), \quad (\text{C.12})$$

and in total we therefore get

$$\phi_g^{\text{r}}(\tau) = \phi_\lambda^{\text{ut}}(\tau) + \hat{\phi}_\lambda(\tau), \quad (\text{C.13})$$

where we included the contribution of the G -invariant twisted sector. Again, there are simple formulae for the cases

$$\phi_1^{\text{ut}}(\tau) = -8 \frac{\vartheta_4(\tau)^2}{\vartheta_2(\tau)^2}, \quad \phi_i^{\text{ut}}(\tau) = -4 \frac{\vartheta_3(2\tau)}{\vartheta_2(2\tau)}, \quad (\text{C.14})$$

while the expression for $\lambda = \zeta = e^{2\pi i/3}$ is more complicated.

C.2 The geometric groups

Let us denote by C_n the conjugacy classes of G . We take the GAP labelling. With respect to the geometric subgroups, the classes containing geometric elements will decompose into conjugacy classes of the G_i .

C.2.1 $G_0 = (\mathbb{Z}_2^4) \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$

The group order is $|G_0| = 64$, and the generators are $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3, \iota_4$. Their conjugacy classes sit in those of the octad group as

$$1a = \{[1]\} \quad (\text{C.15})$$

$$2a \supset \{[\iota_2\iota_1], [\iota_3\iota_2], [\iota_4\iota_3\iota_2\iota_1], [\iota_4\iota_2\iota_1], [\iota_1], [\iota_3\iota_1]\} \quad (\text{C.16})$$

$$2b \supset \{[\alpha_1], [\alpha_2], [\alpha_2\alpha_1]\} \quad (\text{C.17})$$

$$4a \supset \{[\iota_2\iota_1\alpha_2], [\iota_2\iota_1\alpha_2\alpha_1], [\iota_4\iota_1\alpha_1], [\iota_1\alpha_2], [\iota_2\alpha_2\alpha_1], [\iota_1\alpha_1]\}. \quad (\text{C.18})$$

From (3.7) we see that all elements in $2a$ have $N_{\text{fp}} = -1$. (3.17) and (3.18) on the other hand show that α_1, α_2 , and $\alpha_2\alpha_1$ all have eigenvalues $\pm i$. (3.9) shows that their fixed points are A, N, O and E, M, N and D, L, N respectively. For $2b$ we thus have $N_{\text{fp}} = 3$. For $4a$ on the other hand we find from the action of the ι_i that $N_{\text{fp}} = -1$. The character for all the conjugacy classes is thus

$$\phi_g^{\text{tw}}(\tau) = \begin{cases} 15\hat{\phi}_1(\tau) & g \in 1a \\ -\hat{\phi}_1(\tau) & g \in 2a \\ 3\hat{\phi}_i(\tau) & g \in 2b \\ -\hat{\phi}_i(\tau) & g \in 4a \end{cases} \quad (\text{C.19})$$

C.2.2 $G_1 = (\mathbb{Z}_2^4) \rtimes A_4$

The group order is now $|G_1| = 192$, and the generators are $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3, \iota_4$. Their conjugacy classes now sit inside those of G as

$$1a = \{[1]\} \quad (\text{C.20})$$

$$2a = \{[\iota_1], [\iota_2 \iota_1]\} \quad (\text{C.21})$$

$$3a = \{[\gamma_3], [\gamma_3^{-1}]\} \quad (\text{C.22})$$

$$2b = \{[\gamma_1]\} \quad (\text{C.23})$$

$$4a = \{[\iota_1 \gamma_1], [\iota_4 \gamma_2], [\iota_1 \gamma_2]\} . \quad (\text{C.24})$$

Noting again that γ_1 and γ_2 have eigenvalues $\pm i$ and that γ_3 and γ_3^{-1} have no fixed points, we get

$$\phi_g^{\text{tw}}(\tau) = \begin{cases} 15\hat{\phi}_1(\tau) & g \in 1a \\ -\hat{\phi}_1(\tau) & g \in 2a \\ 0 & g \in 3a \\ 3\hat{\phi}_i(\tau) & g \in 2b \\ -\hat{\phi}_i(\tau) & g \in 4a \end{cases} \quad (\text{C.25})$$

C.2.3 $G_2 = (\mathbb{Z}_2^4) \rtimes S_3$

The group order of G_2 is $|G_2| = 96$, and the group is generated by $\beta_1, \beta_2, \iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3$, and ι_4 . The conjugacy classes of G_2 sit in those of the octad group as

$$1a = \{[1]\} \quad (\text{C.26})$$

$$2a = \{[\iota_1], [\iota_3 \iota_2], [\iota_3 \iota_1], [\iota_4 \iota_2]\} \quad (\text{C.27})$$

$$3a = \{[\beta_1]\} \quad (\text{C.28})$$

$$2b = \{[\beta_2]\} \quad (\text{C.29})$$

$$4a = \{[\iota_2 \iota_1 \beta_2], [\iota_1 \beta_2], [\iota_2 \beta_2]\} . \quad (\text{C.30})$$

Again, β_2 has eigenvalues $\pm i$, and β_1 has no fixed point, so that

$$\phi_g^{\text{tw}}(\tau) = \begin{cases} 15\hat{\phi}_1(\tau) & g \in 1a \\ -\hat{\phi}_1(\tau) & g \in 2a \\ 0 & g \in 3a \\ 3\hat{\phi}_i(\tau) & g \in 2b \\ -\hat{\phi}_i(\tau) & g \in 4a \end{cases} \quad (\text{C.31})$$

C.3 Geometric action on W^{rest}

Since the result only depends on the eigenvalues of g , it is straightforward to compute the character of W^{rest} in eq. (C.13), using (C.6) and (C.12). We find

$$\phi_g^r(\tau) = \begin{cases} -8 \frac{\vartheta_4(\tau)^2}{\vartheta_2(\tau)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\vartheta_2(\tau)^2}{\vartheta_4(\tau)^2} & g \in 1a, 2a \\ \phi_\zeta^{\text{ut}}(\tau) + \hat{\phi}_\zeta(\tau) & g \in 3a \\ -4 \frac{\vartheta_3(2\tau)}{\vartheta_2(2\tau)} + \frac{\vartheta_2(2\tau)}{\vartheta_3(2\tau)} & g \in 2b, 4a \end{cases} \quad (\text{C.32})$$

D The explicit decomposition for the first few levels

h	$V_h^{\mathbb{M}_{24}}$	V_h^\perp	V_h^{rest}
1	$\mathbf{45} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{45}}$	$\mathbf{45}' \oplus \overline{\mathbf{45}'}$	0
2	$\mathbf{231} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{231}}$	$\mathbf{210} \oplus \mathbf{210}$	$\mathbf{21}' \oplus \overline{\mathbf{21}'}$
3	$\mathbf{770} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{770}}$	$2 \cdot (\mathbf{105}_2 \oplus \mathbf{315}_1 \oplus \mathbf{315}_2)$	$\mathbf{35} \oplus \mathbf{35}$
4	$\mathbf{2277} \oplus \mathbf{2277}$	$2 \cdot (\mathbf{15} \oplus \mathbf{90} \oplus \mathbf{105}_1 \oplus \mathbf{105}_3 \oplus \mathbf{120} \oplus 2 \cdot \mathbf{210})$ $\oplus 2 \cdot \mathbf{315}_1 \oplus 2 \cdot \mathbf{315}_2)$	$2 \cdot (\mathbf{28} \oplus \mathbf{64} \oplus \mathbf{70})$
5	$2 \cdot \mathbf{5796}$	$2 \cdot (2 \cdot \mathbf{45}' \oplus 2 \cdot \overline{\mathbf{45}'} \oplus \mathbf{90} \oplus \mathbf{105}_1 \oplus 2 \cdot \mathbf{105}_2 \oplus \mathbf{105}_3 \oplus 3 \cdot \mathbf{120})$ $\oplus 3 \cdot \mathbf{210} \oplus 6 \cdot \mathbf{315}_1 \oplus 6 \cdot \mathbf{315}_2)$	$2 \cdot (\mathbf{45} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{45}} \oplus 2 \cdot \mathbf{56} \oplus \mathbf{64} \oplus \mathbf{70})$
6	$2 \cdot (\mathbf{3520} \oplus \mathbf{10395})$	$2 \cdot (\mathbf{15} \oplus \mathbf{45}' \oplus \overline{\mathbf{45}'} \oplus 5 \cdot \mathbf{90} \oplus 6 \cdot \mathbf{105}_1 \oplus 4 \cdot \mathbf{105}_2 \oplus 6 \cdot \mathbf{105}_3)$ $\oplus 4 \cdot \mathbf{120} \oplus 10 \cdot \mathbf{210} \oplus 13 \cdot \mathbf{315}_1 \oplus 13 \cdot \mathbf{315}_2)$	$2 \cdot (\mathbf{7} \oplus \mathbf{14} \oplus \mathbf{20} \oplus 2 \cdot \mathbf{21} \oplus \mathbf{21}' \oplus \overline{\mathbf{21}'} \oplus 2 \cdot \mathbf{28} \oplus \mathbf{35})$ $\oplus 2 \cdot \mathbf{45} \oplus 2 \cdot \overline{\mathbf{45}} \oplus 2 \cdot \mathbf{56} \oplus 3 \cdot \mathbf{64} \oplus 3 \cdot \mathbf{70})$

Table 3: On the left side, we show the decomposition of the coefficients A_h into \mathbb{M}_{24} representations for $h = 1, \dots, 6$. The two right columns display how these representations split into V_h^\perp and V_h^{rest} over the Octad subgroup G .

E Character Table

	1a	2a	3a	5a	15a	15b	2b	4a	4b	8a	6a	2c	2d	4c	4d	4e	4f	3b	6b	6c	12a	7a	14a	7b	14b
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
7	7	7	4	2	-1	-1	3	3	1	1	.	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1
14	14	14	-1	-1	-1	-1	2	2	.	.	-1	6	6	6	2	2	2	2	2
15	15	-1	3	-1	1	-1	.	7	-1	-1	3	-1	-1	3	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1
20	20	20	5	.	.	.	4	4	.	.	1	4	4	4	.	.	.	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
21	21	21	6	1	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-2	-3	-3	-3	1	1	1
21'	21	21	-3	1	A	A^*	1	1	-1	-1	1	-3	-3	-3	1	1	1
$\overline{21}$	21	21	-3	1	A^*	A	1	1	-1	-1	1	-3	-3	-3	1	1	1
28	28	28	1	-2	1	1	4	4	.	.	1	-4	-4	-4	.	.	.	1	1	-1	-1
35	35	35	5	.	.	.	-5	-5	-1	-1	1	3	3	3	-1	-1	-1	2	2
45	45	45	-3	-3	1	1	.	-3	-3	-3	1	1	1	B	B	B^*	B^*
45	45	45	-3	-3	1	1	.	-3	-3	-3	1	1	1	B^*	B^*	B	B
45'	45	-3	-3	1	1	-1	.	-3	5	-3	1	-3	1	B	$-B$	B^*	$-B^*$
$\overline{45}$	45	-3	-3	1	1	-1	.	-3	5	-3	1	-3	1	B^*	$-B^*$	B	$-B$
56	56	56	-4	1	1	1	8	8	8	.	.	.	-1	-1	-1	-1
64	64	64	4	-1	-1	-1	-2	-2	.	.	1	1	1	1
70	70	70	-5	.	.	.	2	2	.	.	-1	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	1	1	1	1
90	90	-6	6	-2	.	.	.	18	2	-6	2	2	-2	-1	1	-1	1
105₁	105	-7	-3	1	-1	1	.	17	-7	1	1	-3	1	3	-1	-1	1
105₂	105	-7	-3	1	-1	1	.	1	9	-7	-3	1	1	3	-1	1	-1
105₃	105	-7	9	-3	1	-1	.	-7	1	1	-3	1	1	3	-1	-1	1
120	120	-8	8	8	-8	.	.	.	-3	1	-1	1	1	-1	1	-1
210	210	-14	6	-2	.	.	.	10	-6	2	-2	-2	2	-3	1	1	-1
315₁	315	-21	-9	3	1	-1	.	3	-5	3	-1	3	-1
315₂	315	-21	3	-1	-1	1	.	-21	3	3	3	-1	-1

Table 4: Character table of G . $A = \frac{-1-i\sqrt{15}}{2}$, $B = \frac{-1-i\sqrt{7}}{2}$.. The dots represent 0.

References

- [1] T. Eguchi, H. Ooguri, and Y. Tachikawa, “Notes on the K3 Surface and the Mathieu group M_{24} ,” *Exper.Math.*, vol. 20, pp. 91–96, 2011.
- [2] R. E. Borcherds, “Monstrous moonshine and monstrous Lie superalgebras,” *Invent. Math.*, vol. 109, no. 2, pp. 405–444, 1992.
- [3] M. C. N. Cheng, “K3 Surfaces, N=4 Dyons, and the Mathieu Group M_{24} ,” *Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.*, vol. 4, pp. 623–658, 2010.
- [4] M. R. Gaberdiel, S. Hohenegger, and R. Volpato, “Mathieu twining characters for K3,” *JHEP*, vol. 09, p. 058, 2010.
- [5] M. R. Gaberdiel, S. Hohenegger, and R. Volpato, “Mathieu Moonshine in the elliptic genus of K3,” *JHEP*, vol. 10, p. 062, 2010.
- [6] T. Eguchi and K. Hikami, “Note on twisted elliptic genus of K3 surface,” *Phys. Lett.*, vol. B694, pp. 446–455, 2011.
- [7] T. Gannon, “Much ado about Mathieu,” *Adv. Math.*, vol. 301, pp. 322–358, 2016.
- [8] M. R. Gaberdiel, S. Hohenegger, and R. Volpato, “Symmetries of K3 sigma models,” *Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.*, vol. 6, pp. 1–50, 2012.
- [9] G. Höhn and G. Mason, “The 290 fixed-point sublattices of the Leech lattice,” *J. Algebra*, vol. 448, pp. 618–637, 2016.
- [10] M. R. Gaberdiel, A. Taormina, R. Volpato, and K. Wendland, “A K3 sigma model with $\mathbb{Z}_2^8 : M_{20}$ symmetry,” *JHEP*, vol. 02, p. 022, 2014.
- [11] A. Taormina and K. Wendland, “A twist in the M_{24} Moonshine story,” *Confluentes Math.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 83–113, 2015.
- [12] A. Taormina and K. Wendland, “Symmetry-surfing the moduli space of Kummer K3s,” in *Proceedings, String-Math 2012, Bonn, Germany, July 16-21, 2012*, pp. 129–154, 2013.
- [13] T. Eguchi, H. Ooguri, A. Taormina, and S. K. Yang, “Superconformal algebras and string compactification on manifolds with $SU(n)$ holonomy,” *Nucl. Phys. B*, vol. 315, pp. 193–221. 30 p, Aug 1988.
- [14] T. Kawai, Y. Yamada, and S.-K. Yang, “Elliptic genera and N=2 superconformal field theory,” *Nucl.Phys.*, vol. B414, pp. 191–212, 1994.
- [15] R. Volpato, “On symmetries of $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ sigma models on T^4 ,” *JHEP*, vol. 08, p. 094, 2014.

- [16] N. Vil'yams and V. Popov, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics*, 2011,
http://www.encyclopediaofmath.org/index.php?title=Imprimitive_group&oldid=13570.
- [17] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.8.5*, 2016.
- [18] I. Gradshteyn and I. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*. Academic Press, 2000.
- [19] T. Eguchi and A. Taormina, “Character Formulas for the $N = 4$ Superconformal Algebra,” *Phys. Lett.*, vol. B200, p. 315, 1988.
- [20] T. Eguchi and A. Taormina, “On the Unitary Representations of $N = 2$ and $N = 4$ Superconformal Algebras,” *Phys. Lett.*, vol. B210, pp. 125–132, 1988.